

5. THREATS TO POLLINATION SYSTEMS

The collapse of pollinator mutualisms has been identified as one potential consequence of anthropogenic land use change (Kearns and Inouye 1997; Allen-Wardell *et al.* 1998; Kearns *et al.* 1998; Wilcock and Neiland 2002). Declines in pollinators have been reported from most continents (Kearns *et al.* 1998; Kevan and Phillips 2001). Land clearance, fragmentation, agricultural practices, herbicides, pesticides and the introduction of exotic plant and pollinator species (Table 10) have all been implicated in a serious decline in pollinators that has been referred to as a "pollination crisis" (Buchman and Nabhan 1996).

Loss of or interruption to pollinator services may have several outcomes. The most obvious result is a loss or reduction in seed set, however, impacts may also extend to reduced offspring vigour as a result of self-pollination, decreasing heterozygosity, and in the increased expression of deleterious traits, resulting from inbreeding (Kearns and Inouye 1997). Ultimately, loss of seeds, fruits or plants will affect animals that rely on these resources.

Table 10: Summary of threats to pollination systems.

Threat	Effect	Impacts
Fragmentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced population size • Isolation • Hostile matrix • Alteration of visitor behaviour 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased genetic drift, in-breeding depression, increased threat of extinction, reduced pollen dispersal, reduced fitness (Rathcke and Jules 1993; Kearns <i>et al.</i> 1998). • Increased reproductive success (Cunningham 2000). • Temporary reduction in pollinator activity (Becker <i>et al.</i> 1991). • Genetic erosion of small populations (Cane and Tepedino 2001, Ghazoul <i>et al.</i> 1998, Oostermeijer <i>et al.</i> 1998). • No reduction of reproductive success, substantial between- and within-site variability (Costin <i>et al.</i> 2001). • Effect of isolation tied to pollinator mobility (Law 2001). • High genetic differentiation among geographically close patches (Dutech <i>et al.</i> 2002). • Pollen clogging by generalist pollinators (Kunin 1997, Groom 2001).
Agricultural Practices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land clearing • Pesticide spraying • Herbicide spraying • Extensive monocultures • Grazing • Resource depletion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pesticides reduce pollinator numbers (Batra 1981). • Poisoning of pollinators resulting in death, behavioural changes and reduced mobility (Johansen 1977). • Contamination of pollen and honey (Kearns <i>et al.</i> 1998). • Herbicides reduce availability of nectar plants, remove nesting sites, destroy larval food sources for pollinators (Kevan 1975, Kearns <i>et al.</i> 1998, Richards 2001). • Grazing changes nesting sites, decreasing water availability, and replacement of native grass species with introduced pasture grasses (Kearns and Inouye 1997).
Invasive Species	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displacement of pollinators by feral competitors • Displacement of native plants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feral honeybees compete for pollen normally available to native pollinators, altering pollen dispersal patterns through foraging that differs from native pollinators, and depleting nectar supplies to nectar feeding pollinators (England <i>et al.</i> 2001). • Introduced bees implicated in successful spread of exotic plant species where native animal species are not suitable pollinators (Stout <i>et al.</i> 2002).

Like most tropical landscapes, the Wet Tropics have been subjected to processes of fragmentation over the last one hundred years or so. Plant species 'marooned' in these fragments may or may not be part of viable populations – and it may take much longer than one hundred years before this becomes evident. Pollination and the subsequent reproductive performance of plants in fragments becomes a crucial issue. Understanding the changes that will occur to pollination processes and outcomes in fragments is an essential first step in managing these changes and attempting to ensure the long-term future of our forests. That having been said, there is almost no data available on this topic. The study by Law and Lean (1999) on *Syzygium cormiflorum* did demonstrate that visits by vertebrates to the flowers were skewed in favour of bats over birds in fragmented situations. Far more work has been carried out in other countries (see references in Table 10), and what is clear from this work is that the little work that has been done shows that the impacts are complex.

We do have in progress studies on the visitor assemblages of isolated and garden individuals of *Syzygium sayeri* and *Normanbya normanbyi*, as well as investigations into the movement of pollen in continuous forest, but no results are yet available. There is no question that much more work of this nature is required and, indeed, is crucial to future management decisions. The key questions for the pollination system of any disturbed system include:

- Has the flowering pattern been altered?
- What level of successful pollination occurs?
- What is visiting the flowers?
- How far is pollen being moved?
- How does any of this differ from continuous forest?

Many of the methods already described can be used to investigate these questions. We briefly describe some additional methods we are currently using in the rest of this section.

5.1 POLLEN MOVEMENT

Understanding how far pollinators can move pollen can give some understanding of gene flow and the potential for pollinators to move pollen between isolated trees (especially in fragmented systems).

Tagging or Marking

There are a number of ways to tag or mark pollen to determine the movement of pollen between individual trees. We have used fluorescent powder to determine the movement of pollen amongst individual *S. gustaviooides* trees at the Australian Canopy Crane site. In this case, we marked flowers on each tree using a different coloured powder for each tree. We then returned to the trees at night and used a UV torch to detect the fluorescent powder on other flowers. Using this, we saw that while most movement was within a tree, there was certainly some movement between trees. This method could be used on understorey trees elsewhere, however without crane access this method is not suitable for use in canopy trees.

Genetic Techniques

Genetic markers can be a useful tool for determining the flow of pollen between individual plants, and the rates of outcrossing. These techniques have been used extensively throughout the neotropics, based on allozyme and microsatellite data (Ward *et al.* 2005). The difficulty with this technique lays in the cost, and in some cases the failure, to obtain sufficient variability to successfully determine gene and pollen flow (Squirrell *et al.* 2003).

We are currently using micro-satellite markers in the hope of determining the distance that pollen is transferred within a continuous forest by pollen vectors. Our expectation is that by determining the paternity of fruit from focal tree, where the pollen donor tree can be identified we will know the distance pollen has been transferred.

To date, we have mapped and sampled all *S. sayeri* and *S. gustavioides* trees within a 250-metre radius of the canopy crane. Mapping was done using a Garmin Gecko GPS, which proved reasonably reliable within the rainforest. To find trees, we set up a series of 500-metre transects running north-south at every ten metres. We searched along the transects, looking approximately five metres on either side of the transect for the target trees. When trees of either species were located, they were tagged using tree tags and an individual number. To profile the DNA of each potential father tree, we collected a small core of cambium (Colpaert *et al.* 2005). A 10 mm leather punch was hammered into the tree trunk to extract the cambium. The core was stored in a labelled plastic vial with silica gel crystals until analysed.

Fruit has been collected from the maternal trees within the access area of the canopy crane, and then frozen and stored in a freezer at -80°C prior to analysis. A library of micro-satellites is developed for a plant species, in our case, we have a library of 6 loci for *S. sayeri*, but where less successful with *S. gustavioides*. The cambium will be analysed and compared to the DNA of the fruit to determine paternity. Identifying the father will give us a distance travelled by the pollinator. For those with no identified father, we can speculate that pollen has travelled further than 250 metres.

5.2 CONCLUSIONS – CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT

It is apparent from the literature that at present we do not know the net effect of anthropogenic disturbance on plant-pollinator interactions. It seems likely that activities such as habitat fragmentation, agriculture and changes to habitats caused by introduced species will be detrimental to some native species; potentially beneficial to others; and will sometimes have subtle and counter-intuitive effects (Cane and Tepedino 2001). The difficulties in understanding plant-pollinator interactions are matched by the difficulties of managing and conserving for these interactions. Conservation and management is likely to be frustrated by a lack of basic information on the reproductive ecology of individuals; detection of declines in populations and the interactions of individual populations; and the different scales at which processes operate. There is a clear lack of empirical evidence. The number of review papers on the subject of pollinator declines and the impacts of disturbance on the pollinator almost outweigh the number of field studies on which those reviews are based. The few studies that have been done provide conflicting and inconsistent results.

The recognition that most pollinators are far from obligate changes how they should be conserved (Kearns *et al.* 1998). The notion that the loss of one species will cause the linked extinction of another (Rathcke and Jules 1993) can no longer be maintained. Kearns *et al.* (1998) argue that if the fundamental nature of plant-pollinator interactions is that of a complex web, varying both in time and space, then the job of conservation is made more subtle and complex. But in turn, this may mean that these systems are more robust to change than previously thought. Consider the fundamental evolutionary nature of pollination. Plants and their pollinators are mutualists, both benefiting from the relationship. However, each has separate goals. The plant desires reproductive success via pollination; the pollinator is rewarded with a source of food, shelter or a mate. The selfish rather than cooperative nature of pollination is evidenced by nectar robbers and flower cheaters (Maloof and Inouye 2000). The result of conflicting interests is divergent natural selection, with plants responding with different floral phenotypes, and animals, their phenotype. The resulting morphologies are not optimal for the other, and in this way the relationship is more opportunistic and flexible (Kearns *et al.* 1998). This can create conflicts in conservation

management between the needs of endangered animal species and that of endangered plant species (Simon *et al.* 2001). While generalist pollinators may respond better to disturbance than specialists, the isolation and reduced densities of individual plant species created in disturbed areas may result in increased pollination failure in these systems (Wilcock and Neiland 2002). Both generalist and specialist pollination syndromes are likely to be impacted by disturbance, but the impacts will be different and will require different conservation and management strategies.

The demographic and genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation and land use change are likely to be species-dependent (Cane 2001). Determining the impacts on individual species will require the uncoupling of factors such as habitat management practices, population size, isolation, genetic effects, pollination and sexual systems. It would be unwise to assume that pollination systems are robust enough to adapt to current rates of anthropogenic change and disturbance. With implications for essential ecological processes, potential for flow-on effects to other species and serious economic implications, the conservation and management of pollination systems requires serious attention. Pollinators may not only be important for the long-term success of our forests. For example, on the Atherton Tablelands, native pollinators found in rainforest fragments played an important role in crop pollination (Blanche and Cunningham 2005). Conservation should not be placed on hold for lack of consistent evidence, but better understanding of the variation should be sought. Further information on pollination systems, carefully designed studies of potentially impacted systems and protocols for measuring pollinator declines are urgently needed.

REFERENCES

- Allen-Wardell, G., Bernhardt, P., Bitner, R., Burquez, A., Buchmann, S., Cane, J., Cox, P. A., Dalton, V., Feinsinger, P., Ingram, M., Inouye, D., Jones, C. E., Kennedy, K., Kevan, P., Koopowitz, H., Medellin, R., Medellin-Morales, S., Nabhan, G. P., Pavlik, B., Tepedino, V., Torchio, P. and Walker, S. (1998). The potential consequences of pollinator declines on the conservation of biodiversity and stability of food crop yields. *Conservation Biology* 12(1): 8-17.
- Ashton, P. S., Givish, T. J. and Appanah, S. (1988). Staggered flowering in the Dipterocarpaceae: new insights into floral induction and the evolution of mast fruiting in the aseasonal tropics. *The American Naturalist* 132: 44-66.
- Auspurger, C. K. (1981). Reproductive synchrony of a tropical shrub: experimental studies on effects of pollinators and seed predators on *Hybanthus prunifolius* (Violaceae). *Evolution* 62: 775-788.
- Baker, H. G. (1983). An outline of the history of anthecology, or pollination biology. In: (L. Real, ed.) *Pollination Biology*, Academic Press, New York, pp. 7-27.
- Baker, H. G. and Baker, I. (1983). Floral nectar sugar constituents in relation to pollinator type. In: (C. E. Jones and R. J. Little, eds) *Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology*. Science and Academic editions, New York.
- Basset, Y., Springate, N. D., Aberlenc, H. P. and Delvare, G. (1997). A review of methods for sampling arthropods in tree canopies. In: N. E. Stork, J. Adis and R. K. Didham (eds) *Canopy Arthropods*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Batra, S. W. T. (1981). Biological control in agroecosystems. *Science* 215: 134-139.
- Bawa, K. S., Kang, H. and Grayum, M. H. (2003). Relationships among time, frequency, and duration of flowering among tropical rain forest trees. *American Journal of Botany* 90: 877-887.
- Bawa, K. S. (1982). Outcrossing and the incidence of dioecism in island floras. *American Naturalist* 119: 866-871.
- Becker, P., Moure, J. S. and Peralta, F. J. A. (1991). More about euglossine bees in Amazonian forest fragments. *Biotropica* 23(4b): 586-591.
- Bernal, R. and Ervik, F. (1996). Floral biology and pollination of the dioecious palm *Phytelephas seemanii* in Colombia: an adaption to Staphylinid beetles. *Biotropica* 28: 682-696.
- Birkinshaw, C. R. and Colquhoun, I. C. (1998). Pollination of *Ravenala madagascariensis* and *Parkia madagascariensis* by *Eulemur macaco* in Madagascar. *Folia Primatologica* 69: 252.
- Bishop, J. G. and Schemske, D. W. (1998). Variation in flowering phenology and its consequences for lupines colonizing Mount St. Helens. *Ecology* 79(2): 534-547.
- Blanche, R. and Cunningham, S. (2005). Rain forest provides pollinating beetles for Atemoya crops. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 98: 193-201.

Boulter, S. L. (2003). Reproductive ecology of two Myrtaceous canopy plant species: *Syzygium gustavioides* (F.M. Bail.) B. Hyland and *S.sayeri* (F.Muell.) B. Hyland. Thesis B.Sc. (Hons.), Griffith University.

Boulter, S. L., Kitching, R. L. and Howlett, B. G. (2006). Family, visitors and the weather – patterns of flowering in tropical rainforests of northern Australia. *Journal of Ecology* 94: 369-384.

Boulter, S. L., Kitching, R. L., Gross, C. L., Goodall, K. L. and Howlett, B. G. (in review). Floral morphology, phenology and pollination in the Wet Tropics.

Boulter, S. L., Kitching, R. L., Howlett, B. G. and Goodall, K. (2005). Any which way will do – the pollination biology of a northern Australian rainforest canopy tree (*Syzygium sayeri*; Myrtaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 149: 69-84.

Bronstein, J. L. (1995). The plant-pollinator landscape. In: L. Hansson, L. Fahrig and G. Merriam (eds) *Mosaic Landscapes and Ecological Processes*. Chapman and Hall. London.

Buchman, S. L. and Nabhan, G. P. (1996). *The Forgotten Pollinators*. Island Press. Washington D.C.

Cane, J. H. (2001). Habitat fragmentation and native bees: a premature verdict? *Conservation Ecology* 5(1): 3.

Cane, J. H. and Tepedino, V. J. (2001). Causes and extent of declines among native North American invertebrate pollinators: Detection, Evidence, and Consequences. *Conservation Ecology* 5(1): 1.

Colpaert, N., Cavers, S., Bandou, E., Caron, H., Gheysen, G., and Lowe, A. J. (2005). Sampling tissue for DNA analysis of trees: Trunk cambium as an alternative to canopy leaves. *Slivae genetica* 54: 265-269.

Cooper, W. and Cooper, W. (2004). *Fruits of the Australian Tropical Rainforest*. Nokomis Editions, Victoria.

Costin, B. J., Morgan, J. W. Young, A. G. (2001). Reproductive success does not decline in fragmented populations of *Leucochrysum albicans* subsp. *albicans* var. *tricolor* (Asteraceae). *Biological Conservation* 98(3): 273-284.

Crome, F. H. J. and Irvine, A. K. (1986). "Two Bob Each Way": The pollination and breeding system of the Australian rain forest tree *Syzygium cormiflorum* (Myrtaceae). *Biotropica* 18: 115-125.

Cronin L. (2000). Key guide to Australian palms, ferns, cycads and pandans. Envirobooks, Australia.

Cunningham, S. A. (2000). Effects of habitat fragmentation on the reproductive ecology of four plant species in mallee woodland. *Conservation Biology* 14(3): 758-768.

Dafni, A. (1992). *Pollination Ecology. A Practical Approach*. IRL Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Dicks, L. V., Corbet, S. A. and Pywell, R. F. (2002). Compartmentalization in plant-insect flower visitor webs. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71: 32-43.

- Dobson, H. E. M. (1987). Role of flower and pollen aromas in host-plant recognition by solitary bees. *Oecologia* 72: 618-623.
- Dukas, R. (2001). Effects of perceived danger on flower choice by bees. *Ecology Letters* 4: 327-333.
- Dutech, C., Seiter, J., Petronelli, P. Joly, H. I. and Jarne, P. (2002). Evidence of low gene flow in a neotropical clustered tree species in two rainforest stands of French Guiana. *Molecular Ecology* 11: 725-738.
- England, P. R., Beynon, F., Ayre, D. J. and Whelan, R. J. (2001). Molecular genetic assessment of mating-system variation in a naturally bird-pollinated shrub: contributions from birds and introduced honeybees. *Conservation Biology* 15(6): 1645-1655.
- Faegri, K. and van der Pijl, L. (1979). *The Principles of Pollination Ecology*. Third Edition. Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford.
- Feinsinger, P. (1983). Coevolution and pollination. In: D. J. Futuyma and M. Slatkin (eds) *Coevolution*. Sinauer Associates Inc., Massachusetts.
- Ghazoul, J. (2006). Floral diversity and the facilitation of pollination, *Journal of Ecology* 94: 295-304.
- Ghazoul, J., Liston, K. A. and Bowles, T. J. B. (1998). Disturbance-induced density-dependent seed set in *Shorea siamensis* (Diperocarpaceae), a tropical forest tree. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 462-473.
- Giurfa, M., Eichmann, B. and Menzel, R. (1996). Symmetry perception in an insect. *Nature* 382: 458-461.
- Groom, M. J. (2001). Consequences of subpopulation isolation for pollination, herbivory, and population growth in *Clarkia concinna concinna* (Onagraceae). *Biological Conservation* 100: 55-61.
- Gross, C. L., Mackay, D. A. and Whalen, M. A. (2000). Aggregated flowering phenologies among three sympatric legumes: the degree of non-randomness and the effect of overlap on fruit set. *Plant Ecology* 148: 13-21.
- Gross, C. L. (1993). The breeding system and pollinators of *Melastoma affine* (Melastomataceae): A pioneer shrub in tropical Australia. *Biotropica* 25: 468-474.
- Gross, C. L. (2005). A comparison of the sexual systems in the trees from the Australian tropics with other tropical biomes – more monoecy but why? *American Journal of Botany* 92: 907-919.
- Hansman, D. J. (2001). Floral biology of dry rainforest in northern Queensland and a comparison with adjacent savanna woodland. *Australian Journal of Botany* 49: 137-153.
- Herrera, C. M. (1996). Floral traits and plant adaptation to insect pollinators: a devil's advocate approach. In: D. G. Lloyd and S. C. H. Barrett (eds). *Floral Biology: Studies on Floral Evolution in Animal-pollinated Plants*. Chapman and Hall, USA.
- Herrera, C. M. (1987). Components of pollinator "quality": comparative analysis of a diverse insect assemblage. *Oikos* 50: 79-90.

- Herrera, C. M. (1989). Pollinator abundance, morphology, and flower visitation rate: analysis of the “quantity” component in a plant-pollinator system. *Oecologia* 80: 241-248.
- Highan, R. K. and McQuillan, P. B. (2000). *Cyathodes divaricata* (Epacridaceae) – the first record of a bird-pollinated dioecious plant in the Australian flora. *Australian Journal of Botany* 48: 93-99.
- Hingston, A. B. and McQuillan, P. B. (2000). Are pollination syndromes useful predictors of floral visitors in Tasmania? *Austral Ecology* 25: 600-609.
- Hocking, B. (1953). The intrinsic range and speed of flight of insects. *Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society London* 104: 223-345.
- Hocking, B. (1968). Insect-flower association in the high Arctic with special reference to nectar. *Oikos* 19: 359-388.
- Hopper, S. D. (1980). Pollination of the rain-forest tree *Syzygium tierneyanum* (Myrtaceae) at Kuranda, Northern Queensland. *Australian Journal of Botany* 28: 223-237.
- House, S. M. (1989). Pollen movement to flowering canopies of pistillate individuals of three rain forest tree species in tropical Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 14: 77-94.
- House, S. M. (1993). Pollination success in a population of dioecious rain forest trees. *Oecologia* 96: 555-561.
- Howlett, B. G., Kitching, R. L. and Boulter, S. L. (2005). Interception traps in canopy inflorescences: targeting a neglected fauna. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 25: 138-141.
- Hyland, B. P. M., Whiffin, T., Christophel, D. C., Gray, B. and Elick, R. W. (2003). *Australian Tropical Rain Forest Plants*. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.
- Ibarra-Manriquez, G. and Oyama, K. (1992). Ecological correlates of reproductive traits of Mexican rain forest trees. *American Journal of Botany* 79: 383-394.
- Irvine A. K. and Armstrong, J. E. (1990). Beetle pollination in tropical forests of Australia. In: K. Bawa and M. Hadley (eds) *Reproductive Ecology of Tropical Forest Plants*. UNESCO. Parthenon, USA.
- Johansen, C. A. (1977). Pesticides and pollinators. *Annual Review of Entomology* 22: 177-192.
- Johnson, S. D. and Steiner K. E. (2000). Generalization versus specialization in plant pollination systems. *Tree* 15: 140-143.
- Kato, M. (1996). Plant-pollinator interactions in the understorey of a lowland mixed Dipterocarp forest in Sarawak. *American Journal of Botany* 83: 732-743.
- Kato, M., Inoue, T. and Nagamitsu, T. (1995). Pollination biology of *Gnetum* (Gnetaceae) in a lowland mixed Dipterocarp forest in Sarawak. *American Journal of Botany* 82(7): 862-868.
- Kearns, C. A. and Inouye, D. W. (1993). *Techniques for Pollination Biologists*. University Press of Colorado, Colorado.

Kearns, C. A. and Inouye, D. W. (1997). Pollinators, flowering plants and conservation biology. *Bioscience* 47(5): 297-307.

Kearns, C. A., Inouye, D. W. and Waser, N. M. (1998). Endangered mutualisms: The conservation of plant-pollinator interactions. *Annual Review of Ecological Systematics* 29: 83-112.

Kenta, T., Shimizu, K. K., Nakagawa, M., Okada, K., Hamid, A. A. and Nakashizuka, T. (2002). Multiple factors contribute to outcrossing in a tropical emergent *Dipterocarpus tempehes*, including a new pollen-tube guidance mechanism for self-incompatibility. *American Journal of Botany* 89(1): 60-66.

Kevan, P. G. (1975). Pollination and environmental conservation. *Environmental Conservation* 2: 293-298.

Kevan, P. G. (2003). The modern science of ambrosiology: in honour of Herbert and Irene Baker. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 238: 1-5.

Kevan, P. G. and Phillips, T. P. (2001). The economic impacts of pollinator declines: An approach to assessing the consequences. *Conservation Ecology* 5(1): 8.

Kitching, R. L., Boulter, S. L., Howlett, B. G. and Goodall, K. L. (in review). Visitor assemblages at flowers in a tropical rainforest canopy. *Austral Ecology*

Knuth, P. (1898-1905). *Handbuch der Blütenbiologie*, 3 volumes, Engelmann, Leipzig.

Kunin, W. E. (1997). Population biology and rarity: on the complex density dependence in insect-plant interactions. In: (W. E. Kunin and K. J. Gaston, eds) *The Biology of Rarity*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 150-173.

Kwak, M. M. and Vervoot, N. (2000). Bumblebee visitation and dispersal of fluorescent dye powder between bridged and isolated experimental patches of *Phyteuma nigrum* (Campanulaceae). *Det Norske Videnskaps-Akademi. I. Matematisk Naturvidenskapelige Klasse, Skrifter, Ny Serie*, 39: 11-21.

Lara, C. and Ornelas, J. F. (2001). Preferential nectar robbing of flowers with long corollas: experimental studies of two hummingbird species visiting three plant species. *Oecologia* 128: 263-273.

Law, B. S. (2001). The diet of the common blossom bat (*Syconycteris australis*) in upland tropical rainforest and the importance of riparian areas. *Wildlife Research* 28: 619-626.

Law, B. S. and Lean, M. (1999). Common blossom bats (*Syconycteris australis*) as pollinators in fragmented Australian tropical rainforests. *Biological Conservation* 91: 201-212.

Listabarth, C. (1996). Pollination of *Bactris* by *Phyllotrox* and *Epurea*. Implications of the Palm Breeding Beetles on Pollination at the Community Level. *Biotropica* 28: 69-81.

Maloof, J. E. and Inouye, D. W. (2000). Are nectar robbers cheaters or mutualists? *Ecology* 81: 2651-2661.

Martin, F. W. (1958). Staining and observing pollen tubes in the style by means of fluorescence. *Stain Technology* 34: 125-128.

- McCall, C. and Primack, R. B. (1992). Influence of flower characteristics, weather, time of day, and season insect visitation rates in three plant communities. *American Journal of Botany* 79(4): 434-442.
- Memmott, J. (1999). The structure of a plant-pollinator food web. *Ecology Letters* 2: 276-280.
- Mitchell, A. W., Secoy, K. and Jackson, T. (eds) (2002). *The Global Canopy Handbook*. Global Canopy Programme, Oxford, UK.
- Moldenke, A. R. (1975). Niche specialization and species diversity along a California transect. *Oecologia* 21: 214-242.
- Moldenke, A. R. (1976). California pollination ecology and vegetation types. *Phytologia* 34: 305-361.
- Moldenke, A. R. and Lincoln, P. G. (1979). Pollination ecology in montane Colorado: A community analysis. *Phytologia* 42: 349-379.
- Momose, K., Ishii, R., Sakai, S. and Inoue, T. (1998). Plant reproductive intervals and pollinators in the aseasonal tropics: a new model. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 265: 2333-2339.
- Muchhal, N. (2003). Exploring the boundary between pollination syndromes: bats and hummingbirds as pollinators of *Burmeistera cyclostigmata* and *B. tenuiflora* (Campanulaceae). *Oecologia* 134: 373-380.
- Muller, A. P. (1995) Bumblebee preference for symmetrical flowers. *Proceedings of the Academy of National Science USA* 92: 2288-2292.
- Murawski, D. A. (1995). Reproductive biology and genetics of tropical trees from a canopy perspective. In: M. D. Lowman and N. M. Nadkarni (eds) *Forest Canopies*, Academic Press, San Diego.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B. and Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853-858.
- Nagamitsu, T. and Inoue, T. (1997). Cockroach pollination and breeding system of *Uvaria elmeri* (Annonaceae) in a lowland mixed-dipterocarp forest in Sarawak. *American Journal of Botany* 84: 208-213.
- Newstrom, L. E., Frankie, G. W. and Baker, H. G. (1994). A new classification for plant phenology based on flowering patterns in lowland tropical rain forest trees at La Selva, Costa Rica. *Biotropica*, 26: 141-159.
- Ollerton, J. and Lack, A. J. (1992). Flowering phenology, an example of relaxation of natural selection? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 7: 274-276.
- Ollerton, J. (1996). Reconciling ecological processes with phylogenetic patterns: the apparent paradox of plant-pollinator systems. *Journal of Ecology* 84: 767-769.
- Oostermeijer, J. G. B., Luijten, S. H., Krenova, Z. V. and Debn Mijls, H. C. M. (1998). Relationship between population and habitat characteristics and reproduction of the rare *Gentiana pneumonanthe* L. *Conservation Biology* 12(5): 1042-1053.

- Opler, P. A. (1983). Nectar production in a tropical ecosystem. In: B. Bentley and T. Elias (eds) *The Biology of Nectaries*. Columbia University Press, New York. pp. 30-77.
- Pacini, E., Nepi, M. and Vesprini, J. L. (2003). Nectar biodiversity: a short review. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 238: 7-21.
- Pike, K. A. (1956). Pollen morphology of Myrtaceae from the south-west Pacific area. *Australian Journal of Botany* 4: 13-53.
- Proctor, M., Yeo, P. and Lack, A. (1996). *The Natural History of Pollination*. Timber Press Inc., Oregon.
- Rathcke, B. J. and Jules, E. S. (1993). Habitat fragmentation and plant-pollinator interactions. *Current Science* 65: 273-277.
- Rathcke, B. J. and Lacey, E. P. (1985). Phenological patterns in terrestrial plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 16: 179-214.
- Richards, A. J. (2001). Does low biodiversity resulting from modern agricultural practice affect crop pollination and yield? *Annals of Botany* 88: 165-172.
- Sakai, S. and Inoue, T. (1999). A new pollination system: dung-beetle pollination discovered in *Orchidantha inouei* (Lowiaceae, Zingiberales) in Sarawak, Malaysia. *American Journal of Botany* 86: 56-61.
- Sakai, S., Kato, M. and Inoue, T. (1999). Three pollination guilds and variation in floral characteristics of Bornean gingers (Zingiberaceae and Costaceae). *Australian Journal of Botany* 86: 646-658.
- Sakai, S., Kato, M. and Nagamasu, H. (2000). *Artocarpus* (Moraceae) – gall midge pollination mutualism mediated by a male-flower parasitic fungus. *American Journal of Botany* 87: 440-445.
- Sands, D. and House, S. (1990). Plant/Insect Interactions – food webs and breeding systems. In: (L. J. Webb and J. Kikkawa, eds). *Australian Rainforests: Science-Values-Meaning*. CSIRO, Australia.
- Sattler, P. S. and Williams, R. D. (1999). *The Conservation Status of Queensland's Bioregional Ecosystems*. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Australia.
- Sazima, I., Buzato, S. and M. Sazima (1993). The bizarre inflorescence of *Norantea brasiliensis* (Marcgraviaceae): Visits of hovering and perching birds. *Botanical Acta* 106: 507-513.
- Sazima, I., Buzato, S. and Sazima, M. (1996). An assemblage of hummingbird-pollinated flowers in a Montane forest in southeastern Brazil. *Botanical Acta* 109: 149-160.
- Shapcott, A. (1998). Vagile but inbred: patterns of inbreeding and the genetic structure within populations of the monsoon rain forest tree *Syzygium nervosum* (Myrtaceae) in Northern Australia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 14: 595-614.
- Shivanna, K. R. and Rangaswamy, N. S. (1992). *Pollen Biology*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

- Simon, J., Bosch, M., Molero, J. and Blanché, C. (2001). Conservation biology of the Pyrenean larkspur (*Delphinium montanum*): a case of conflict of plant versus animal conservation? *Biological Conservation* 98: 305-314.
- Sokal, R. R. and Rohlf, F. J. (1995). *Biometry. The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*. Third Edition. W. H. Freeman and Company, USA.
- Southwood, T. R. E. (1978). *Ecological Methods*. Second Edition. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Squirrell, J., Hollingswoth, P. M., Woodhead, M., Russell, J., Lowe, A. J., Gibby, M. and Powell, W. (2003). How much effort is required to isolate nuclear microsatellites from plants? *Molecular Ecology* 12: 1339-1348.
- Stebbins, G. L. (1970). Adaptive radiation of reproductive characteristics in angiosperms. 1. Pollination mechanisms. *Annual Review of Systematics* 1: 307-326.
- Stern, K. R., Uno, G., Bidlack, J. E. and Jansky, S. (2006). *Introductory Plant Biology*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Stiles, G. F. (1981). Geographical aspects of bird-flower coevolution, with particular reference to central America. *Annals of Missouri Botanical Garden* 68: 323-351.
- Stout, J. C., Kells, A. R. and Goulson, D. (2002). Pollination of the invasive exotic shrub *Lupinus arboreus* (Fabaceae) by introduced bees in Tasmania. *Biological Conservation* 106: 425-434.
- Suttle, K. B. (2003). Pollinators as mediators of top-down effects on plants. *Ecology Letters* 6: 688-694.
- van Dulmen, A. (2001). Pollination and phenology of flowers in the canopy of two contrasting rain forest types in Amazonia, Colombia. *Plant Ecology* 153: 73-85.
- van Shaik, C. P., Terborgh, J. W. and Wright, S. J. (1993). The phenology of tropical forests: Adaptive significance and consequences for Primary Consumers. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 24: 353-377.
- von Helversen, D and von Helversen, O. (1999). Acoustic guide in bat-pollinated flower. *Nature* 398: 759-60.
- Ward M, Dick C. W., Gribel R., Lowe, A. J. (2005). To self, or not to self... A review of outcrossing and pollen-mediated gene flow in neotropical trees. *Heredity* 95: 246-254.
- Waser, N. M. (1983). The adaptive nature of floral traits: Ideas and evidence. In: L. Real (ed). *Pollination Biology*. Academic Press Inc., USA.
- Waser, N. M. Chittka, L., Price, M. V., Williams, N. M. and Ollerton, J. (1996). Generalization in pollination systems, and why it matters. *Ecology* 77: 1043.
- Webb, L. J. and Tracey, J. G. (1994). The rainforests of northern Australia, In: Groves, R. H. (ed), *Australian Vegetation*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 87-129.
- Weis, M. R. (1991). Floral colour changes as cues for pollinators. *Nature* 354: 227-229.

Wilcock, C. and Neiland, R. (2002). Pollination failure in plants: why it happens and when it matters. *Trends in Plant Science* 7(6): 270-277.

Williams, G. and Adam, P. (1994). A review of rainforest pollination and plant-pollinator interactions with particular reference to Australian subtropical rainforests. *Australian Zoologist* 29: 177-212.

Williams, G. and Adam, P. (1995). Records of aculeate wasps from flowering subtropical rainforest trees. *Australian Entomologist* 22: 51-58.

Williams, G. and Adam, P. (1999). Pollen sculpture in subtropical rain forest plants: Is wind pollination more common than previously suspected? *Biotropica* 31: 520-524.

Williams, G. A., Adam, P., and Mounds, L. A. (2001). Thrips (Thysanoptera) pollination in Australian subtropical rainforests, with particular reference to pollination of *Wilkiea huegeliana* (Monimiaceae). *Journal of Natural History* 35: 1-21.

Worboys, S. J. and Jackes, B. R. (2005). Pollination processes in *Idiospermum australiense* (Calycanthaceae), an arborescent basal angiosperm of Australia's tropical rain forests. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 251: 107-117.

Wright, S. J. and Calderon, O. (1995). Phylogenetic patterns among tropical flowering phenologies. *Journal of Ecology* 83: 937-948.

Wyatt, R. (1983). Pollinator-plant interactions and the evolution of breeding systems. In: L. Real (ed). *Pollination Biology*. Academic Press Inc., USA.

Yumoto, T. (2000). Bird-pollination of three *Durio* species (Bombacaceae) in a tropical rainforest in Sarawak, Malaysia. *American Journal of Botany* 87: 1181-1188.

APPENDIX 1 – EQUIPMENT LISTS

Suggested suppliers of equipment are provided in Appendix 2.

Section 2.2.2 – Observing and Recording Flower Phenology

- Small swing tags
- Lead pencils
- Data sheet
- Clipboard

Section 2.3.2 – Morphology of Individual Flowers

- Dissecting microscope with graticule
- Dissection kit
- Verniers

Section 2.4.2 – Testing Stigma Receptivity

- 3% hydrogen peroxide
- Pipette
- Watch glass
- Hand lens

Section 2.4.2 – Testing Self-compatibility

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Fine mesh fabric
- Stapler and staples
- String
- Cotton pads
- Flagging tape
- Permanent marker pens
- Pencils
- Note book
- Scissors
- Fine paint brush

Section 2.5.2 – Nectar Measurements

- Micro-syringe or capillary tubes
- Handheld refractometer
- Soft tissues
- Water

Section 2.5.2 – Pollen Viewing and Morphology

- Beaker or small vase
- Large filter papers
- Plastic bag big enough to cover an inflorescence
- Fuchsin jelly (see recipe on page 29)
- Microscope slides
- Cover slips
- Slide warmer (we use an old pie warmer)

Section 3.2.1 – Washing Technique to Sample In-fauna

- A4 plastic zip lock bags
- Slayafe® or equivalent spray insecticide
- 70% ethanol
- Fine artist's brushes
- Takeaway containers

Section 3.3.2 – PAS (plastic acetate strip) Traps

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Plastic coated wire
- Tangletrap®
- Mineral spirits
- Takeaway containers
- Soft artist's brushes
- Funnel
- Fine gauze
- Collection bottle for cleaned mineral spirits
- Ethanol
- Vials
- Labels

Section 3.3.2 – Interception Traps

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Wire
- Petroleum jelly
- Takeaway containers
- String
- Permanent marker pens
- Funnel
- Fine gauze
- Ethanol
- Vials
- Labels

Section 3.3.3 – Observations

- Data sheets
- Pencils
- Ethyl acetate
- Cotton wool
- Glass jars
- Large butterfly net
- Small vials
- 70% ethanol
- Binoculars
- Stopwatch / timer

Section 4.2.1 – Examining Pollen Loads

- Fuchsin jelly (see recipe on page 29)
- Pin
- Slide
- Cover slip
- Slide warmer

Section 4.3.1 – Size Class Exclusion Experiment

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Various sized mesh fabric
- Stapler
- Waterproof glue
- Waterproof tape
- Cotton pads
- Flagging tape
- Scissors
- Permanent marker pens
- Pencils
- Notebook

Section 4.3.2 – Day / Night Exclusion Experiment

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Fine mesh fabric
- Stapler
- Waterproof glue
- Waterproof tape
- Cotton pads
- Flagging tape
- Scissors
- Permanent marker pens
- Pencils
- Notebook

Section 4.4.1 – Pollen Tube Fieldwork

- Plastic acetate sheets
- Fine mesh fabric
- Stapler and staples
- String
- Cotton pads
- Swing tags
- Flagging tape
- Permanent marker pens
- Pencils
- Notebook / data sheet
- Small vials
- Fixative (1:3 acetate:ethanol)

APPENDIX 2 – RESOURCES

REFERENCE BOOKS

Pollination – General

Kearns, C. A. and Inouye, D. W. (1993). *Techniques for Pollination Biologists*. University Press of Colorado, Colorado.

Dafni, A. (1992). *Pollination Ecology. A Practical Approach*. IRL Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Shivanna, K. R. and Rangaswamy, N. S. (1992). *Pollen Biology*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

Faegri, K. and van der Pijl, L. (1979). *The Principles of Pollination Ecology*. Third Edition. Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford.

Flora of the Wet Tropics

Cooper, W. and Cooper, W. (2004). *Fruits of the Australian Tropical Rainforest*. Nokomis Editions, Victoria.

Hyland, B. P. M., Whiffin, T., Christophel, D. C., Gray, B. and Elick, R. W. (2003). *Australian Tropical Rain Forest Plants*. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.

Insect Keys

CSIRO (ed.) (1991). *The Insects of Australia: A Textbook for Students and Research Workers*. Melbourne University Press, Carlton.

Zborowski, P. and Storey, R. I. (1995). *A Field Guide to the Insects in Australia*. Reed, Sydney.

Thysanoptera

Palmer, J. M., Mound, L. A. and Heaume, D. U. (1989). Thysanoptera. In: *IIE Guides to the Insects of Importance to Man*. CAB International, London.

Moritz, G., Morris, D. and Mound, I. (2001). *ThripsID: Pest Thrips of the World*. CD-ROM. ACIAR, Australia.

Coleoptera

Arnett, R. H., Downie, N. M. and Jaques, H. E. (1980). *How to Know the Beetles*. Second Edition, Wm. C. Brown, Duboque, Iowa.

Lawrence, J. F., Hastings, A. M., Dallwitz, M. J., Paine, T. A. and Zucher, E. J. (1999). *Beetle Larvae of the World*. CD-ROM. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.

Lawrence, J. F., Hastings, A. M., Dallwitz, M. J., Paine, T. A. and Zucher, E. J. (1999). *Beetles of the World*. CD-ROM. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.

Matthews, E. G. (1980 onwards). *A Guide to the Genera of Beetles of South Australia*, Parts 1-8 and ongoing. South Australian Museum, Adelaide.

Diptera

McAlpine, J. F., Peterson, B. V., Shewell, G. E., Teskey, H. J., Vockeroth, J. R. and Wood, D. M. (1981-1989). *Manual of Nearctic Diptera* (Volumes 1, 2 and 3). Canada Agriculture, Hull, Quebec.

Oldroyd, H. (1964). *The Natural History of Flies*. Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London.

Lepidoptera

Common, I. F. B. (1990). *Moths of Australia*. Melbourne University Press.

Holloway, J. D., Bradley, J. D. and Carter, D. J. (1987). Lepidoptera. In: *CIE Guides to the Insects of Importance to Man*. CAB International, London.

Scoble, M. J. (1992). *The Lepidoptera: Form, Function and Diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Hymenoptera – General

Gauld, I. and Bolton, B. (1988). *The Hymenoptera*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIERS

Fluorescent Dye Powders Brada Fine Colour Group (www.brada.com.au)

Hand lens Australian Entomological Supplies (www.entosupplies.com.au)

Micro-syringe Alltech (www.alltechaustralia.com.au)

Refractometer John Morris Scientific (www.johnmorris.com.au)

Stains (e.g. basic fuchsin) ProSciTech (www.proscitech.com.au)

Swing tags newsagents, stationers

Tangle trap Australian Entomological Supplies (www.entosupplies.com.au)

UV light Dick Smith Electronics (www.dse.com.au),
Australian Entomological Supplies (www.entosupplies.com.au)